Decision Register Entry

Cabinet Meeting Resolution

Executive Forward Plan Reference

E2253

Voluntary Sector Museums and Heritage Grants 2011-2012

Date of Meeting	13-Jul-11			
The Issue	The report describes the applications for revenue funding received from independent museums and heritage bodies for 2011-2012 and recommends a number of awards to be made			
The decision	(1) To AWARD the following grants for 2011-2012 and for officers to subsequently make a grant of up to £4,000 under delegated authority to Radstock Museum.			
	Applications received	Request £	Purpose	Recomme ndation £
	Bath & NE Somerset Museums Group	5,000	Co-operative events programme [retained and funded direct by the Service]	5,000
	Bath Postal Museum	3,022	Visual display equipment	2,400
	Bath Royal Literary & Scientific Institution	2,000	Exhibition and meeting room plinths	0
	Beckford Tower Trust	2,000	'Beckford's Ride' project	2,000
	Building of Bath Collection	2,000	World Heritage events programme	1,000
	Holburne Museum	4,474	Interpretation project for families and the visually impaired	3,415
	Mayor's Honorary Guides	5,000	Walking tours of Bath for residents and visitors	5,000
	Museum of Bath at Work	4,000	Two community exhibitions	3,500
	No.1 Royal Crescent	1,600	Education and interpretation programme	1,000
	Radstock Museum	5,000	Appointment of temporary staff and training for volunteers and trustees	4,000
	Somerset & Dorset Railway Heritage Trust	4,000	Purchase of a road-rail vehicle	0
	Total:	38,096		27,315
Rationale for decision	The rationale behind the grant-aid criteria is to align them with the Council's vision and the Local Cultural Strategy. The rationale behind the recommendations of sum to be awarded is that these applications most closely align with the grant-aid criteria. The grants recommended are all judged to contribute to local distinctiveness and aim to engage new audiences and volunteers.			

Other options considered

One option would be for no grants to be awarded in 2011/12. This option is not recommended.

Another option would be to take a less discerning approach and meet more of the requests made by applicants by allocating the full sum available. However in view of the inadequate information provided by some applicants and the inappropriate nature of some elements of the projects for which funding was sought, this option is not recommended.

The Decision is subject to Call-In within 5 working days of publication of the decision